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Introduction

• Border Gateway Protocol (BGP)
  – Inter-domain (AS) routing protocol of the Internet routing system
  – (AS-)Path vector routing algorithm
  – Capabilities
    • Policing (without exchange of policies)
    • Prefix and community-based traffic engineering

• Affected by instability
  – Policy-induced instability: conflicting policy interactions
  – Protocol-induced instability: path exploration

• Effects
  – Non-deterministic unstable states (dispute wheels)
  – Delayed BGP convergence (long convergence time)
Routing system/state stability

- Characterized by its response (in terms of processing of routing information) to inputs of finite amplitude

- Inputs may be classified as follows
  - **Internal events**
    - Routing protocol configuration change
    - Software changes/updates
  - **External events**
    - Topological changes
    - Policy changes

Both types of events lead to exchange of routing updates that may result in routing states changes

- Note: BGP does not differentiate routing updates with respect to their root cause, their identification (origin), etc. during its selection process
Objectives

1) Develop a method to systematically process and interpret data part of BGP Routing Information Bases (RIB) to identify and characterize occurrences of BGP routing system instability.

2) Determine a set of stability metrics to measure local stability properties of path-vector routing.

3) Investigate how path-vector routing behavior and network dynamics mutually influence each other.

By means of these metrics:
- Develop a method to analyze effects/impacts of BGP policy- and protocol-induced instability on local routers.
- Derive a stability decision criterion that can be applied as part of the BGP route selection process.
- Study applicability of this decision criterion using real BGP datasets.
BGP and Stability Metrics

- Stability of selected route $r_i$ at time $t$ (Loc_RIB): $\varphi_i(t)$

- **Most stable in Adj_RIB_In**: relative stability between learned routes with identical dest. $d$ at time $t+1$ and most stable learned route for dest $d$. at time $t$: $\Delta \varphi_{i,j}(t+1) = [\varphi_{i,j}(t+1) + 1]/[\varphi_{i,stable}(t) + 1]$

- **Best selectable route in Adj_RIB_In**: relative stability between learned routes with identical dest. $d$ at time $t+1$ and route selected by BGP for dest $d$. at time $t$: $\Delta \varphi_{i,j}(t+1) = [\varphi_{i,j}(t+1) + 1]/[\varphi_{i,selected}(t) + 1]$

- **Differential stability** between most stable candidate route and the route currently selected by BGP: $\delta \varphi_i(t) = \varphi_{i,selected}(t) - \varphi_{i,candidate}(t)$
Differential stability

- Differential stability between selected route at time $t$ for dest. $d$ (stored in Loc_RIB) and newly selected route at time $t+1$ for same dest. $d$: $\delta \varphi_i (i \in [1,|D|])$
  - Characterizes stability of selected route $r_i(t)$ at time $t$ (active route for dest. $d$) against stability of newly selected route $r^*_i(t)$ at time $t$ for the same destination that would replace $r_i(t)$ at time $t+1$: 
    $$\delta \varphi_i(t) = \varphi_i(t) - \varphi^*_i(t)$$
    where, $\varphi_i(t) = \varphi_{i,\text{selected}}(t)$ and $\varphi^*_i(t) = \varphi_{i,\text{candidate}}(t)$
  - If $\delta \varphi_i(t) > 0$ then replacement of route $r_i(t)$ by $r^*_i(t)$ increases stability
    Otherwise, safest decision is to keep currently selected route $r_i(t)$

- Application of metric $\delta \varphi_i$ in BGP route selection
  - Prevents replacement of more stable routes by less stable one
  - Enables selection of more stable routes than currently selected routes

- Local Proof of consistency of stability-based selection with preferential-based selection (path ranking) [SIGMETRICS11]
Measurement-based Results

- Data set: routeviews BGP data set containing around 11M routes (Adj_RIBs_In)
- Stability decision criteria ($\delta \varphi_i(t) > 0$) leads to AS-Path length/stretch decrease ($\delta \rho_i(t) > 0$) for more than 25% of the routes
- Using this decision criteria no negative/detrimental effects for 90% of the routes
- Only 10% of the routes would be stretch increasing ($\delta \rho_i(t) < 0$ with $\delta \varphi_i(t) > 0$) but stretch increase would be limited to 1 or 2 AS-hops
Conclusion

• Differential stability-based decision criterion that can be taken into account as part of the BGP route selection process.

• A significant fraction of the routes (90%) selected by means of this process is not stretch increasing.
  – If one would admit an AS_path length increase of one AS-hop, only a minor fraction of the routes (about 2%) would be penalized by a higher stretch increase (two AS-hops and above)

• Future/ongoing work includes
  – Verify general trade-offs between stability-based route selection and resulting stretch increase/decrease factor on selected routing paths
  – Determine necessary but sufficient conditions for preventing potential oscillations to occur (as local action of selecting a more stable route shall not induce unwanted perturbation(s) on neighboring routing states)
  – Generalize formulation of stability function (and variation increments)
  – Model extended to discriminate between policy vs. protocol instability